

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/783,339	02/20/2004	Brian Smidt	10646.399US01	6914
759	20 12/05/2006		EXAMINER	
Merchant & Gould P.C. P.O. Box 2903			PAHNG, JASON Y	
			r	
Minneapolis, M	N 55402-0903		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3725	
			DATE MAILED: 12/05/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

500
0 '

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/783,339	SMIDT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jason Y. Pahng	3725				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may obt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03	November 2006					
<u> </u>	nis action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under						
	<b>,</b>	,				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-17 and 28-37</u> is/are pending in t						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	rawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-17 and 28-37</u> is/are rejected.	•	÷				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.		•			
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected t	o by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form F	PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure	riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	en received in this Nationa	al Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies no	ot received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date		o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application 				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 3, 2006 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The amendment overcomes the claim rejections made in the last Office action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 12, 13, 15-17, 28, and 31-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Verhoef et al. (US 6,843,435).

With regard to claim 12, Verhoef discloses a grinding machine with substantially all of the claimed structure including:

Application/Control Number: 10/783,339

Art Unit: 3725

a grinding drum (160) positioned within a mill box (154) having opposite sides
 (155);

- 2. the grinding drum (160) having an axis of rotation (axis of 171);
- 3. an anvil (182) having a cross-section, the cross-section including a wedge-shaped portion and a rectangular portion (Figure 3);
- the wedge-shaped (182) portion having a tapering surface extending from a first reference point (closest to 171) to a second reference point (farthest from 171); and
- 5. the ends of the anvil (182) extending beyond (Figure 5a) the sides (155) of the mill box (154).

With regard to claims 13, 28, 34, and 37, Verhoef discloses an anvil (182) of solid construction (Figure 3) without weakening structure. The top tapering surface of the wedge portion receives the impacts of perpendicular forces generated by the grinding drum. See Figure 3.

With regard to claims 15-17 and 31-33, Verhoef discloses a mounting arrangement including a first support surface configured to support an end of a feed table (112) and a second support surface configured to support the anvil (182) from the outside of the mill box (154). See Figure 1.

With regard to claim 35, Verhoef discloses that a portion of the distance between the first reference point (closest to 171) and the axis of rotation (171) defines a minimum clearance.

Application/Control Number: 10/783,339

Art Unit: 3725

With regard to claim 36, Verhoef discloses a first and second reference points aligned horizontally (Figure 3).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 14 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Verhoef et al. (US 6,843,435) in view of Zehr (US 2002/0056773). The claims call for clamp arms (60) to secure the ends of the anvil when positioned within the apertures (Figure 3). In a closely related art, Zehr discloses a grinding machine with clamp arms in order to secure the ends of the anvil when positioned within the apertures. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Verhoef with clamp arms in order to secure the ends of the anvil when positioned within the apertures, as taught by Zehr.

Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Verhoef et al. (US 6,843,435) in view of Hadjinian et al. (US 5,881,959). The claim calls for a shape of the anvil to be such that a minimum clearance is defined between the rectangular portion and the drum. In a closely related art, Hadjinian discloses a grinding machine with a shape of the anvil to be such that a minimum clearance is defined between the rectangular portion (17) and the drum (18) in order to resist deflection

Art Unit: 3725

(Figure 6). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Verhoef with a shape of the anvil to be such that a minimum clearance is defined between the rectangular portion and the drum in order to resist deflection, as taught by Hadjinian.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Y. Pahng whose telephone number is 571 272 4522. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 AM - 8:00 PM, Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larson Lowell can be reached on 571 272 4519. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/783,339

Art Unit: 3725

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JYP

Primary Examiner

Page 6